WO

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT DISTRICT OF ARIZONA

	UNIT	ED STATES OF AMERICA v.	ORDER OF DETENTION PENDING TRIAL
	Ма	rciano Mendoza-Mendoza	Case Number: <u>13-01832M-001</u>
and was	s represe	vith the Bail Reform Act, 18 U.S.C. § 3 ented by counsel. I conclude by a prep t pending trial in this case.	142(f), a detention hearing was held on June 28, 2013. Defendant was present conderance of the evidence the defendant is a flight risk and order the detention
FINDINGS OF FACT I find by a preponderance of the evidence that:			
·	×	The defendant is not a citizen of the	United States or lawfully admitted for permanent residence.
	X	The defendant, at the time of the ch	arged offense, was in the United States illegally.
	If released herein, the defendant faces removal proceedings by the Bureau of Immigration and Customs Enforcement, placing him/her beyond the jurisdiction of this Court and the defendant has previously been deported or otherwise removed.		
		The defendant has no significant co	ntacts in the United States or in the District of Arizona.
	The defendant has no resources in the United States from which he/she might make a bond reasonably calculated to assure his/her future appearance.		
	X	The defendant has a prior criminal h	nistory.
	The defendant lives/works in Mexico.		0.
	 The defendant is an amnesty applicant but has no substantial ties in Arizona or in the United States and has substantial family ties to Mexico. There is a record of prior failure to appear in court as ordered. 		
		The defendant attempted to evade	aw enforcement contact by fleeing from law enforcement.
		The defendant is facing a maximum	of years imprisonment.
The Court incorporates by reference the material findings of the Pretrial Services Agency which were reviewed by the Court at the time of the hearing in this matter, except as noted in the record.			
			CONCLUSIONS OF LAW
	1.	There is a serious risk that the defe	
	2.		litions will reasonably assure the appearance of the defendant as required.
			IONS REGARDING DETENTION
appeal. of the U	tions fac The def nited Sta	cility separate, to the extent practicab fendant shall be afforded a reasonable ates or on request of an attorney for t	of the Attorney General or his/her designated representative for confinement in the persons awaiting or serving sentences or being held in custody pending the opportunity for private consultation with defense counsel. On order of a court the Government, the person in charge of the corrections facility shall deliver the ose of an appearance in connection with a court proceeding.
		APPEAL	S AND THIRD PARTY RELEASE
deliver a			is detention order be filed with the District Court, it is counsel's responsibility to n to Pretrial Services at least one day prior to the hearing set before the District
	s sufficie		to a third party is to be considered, it is counsel's responsibility to notify Pretrial re the District Court to allow Pretrial Services an opportunity to interview and
DATE:	June 2	<u> 28, 2013 </u>	Jul. Mist
			JOHN A. BUTTRICK United States Magistrate Judge